Research Journal of Pharmacognosy (RJP) 8(2), 2021: 5–15 Received: 14 Nov 2020 Accepted: 27 Jan 2021 Published online: 1 Feb 2021 DOI: 10.22127/rjp.2021.257340.1641



Compositions of Essential Oils and Some Biological Properties of *Stachys laxa* Boiss. & Buhse and *S. byzantina* K. Koch

Fatemeh Kiashi¹, Abbas Hadjiakhoondi^{1,2}, Zahra Tofighi¹, Mahnaz Khanavi^{1,3}, Yousef Ajani⁴, Sheyda Ahmadi Koulaei¹, Narguess Yassa^{1*}

¹Department of Pharmacognosy, Faculty of Pharmacy, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran.

²Medicinal Plants Research Center, Faculty of Pharmacy, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran.

³Faculty of Land and Food Systems, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, Canada. ⁴Research Institute of Forest and Rangelands, Tehran, Iran.

Abstract

Background and objectives: Stachys L. genus from the Lamiaceae family is distributed worldwide. It is used for medicinal purposes in traditional medicine. Stachys laxa as an endemic species and S. byzantina which grow in the north of Iran were selected in this study for analyzing the chemical compositions of the volatile oils and investigation of some biological activities. Methods: The chemical constituents of the oils from the aerial parts were analyzed by GC-MS. The antimicrobial activity of the essential oils was investigated by disc diffusion method and the MIC was determined. Toxicity and total phenolics content were surveyed by brine shrimp lethality and Folin-Ciocalteu assays, respectively. Two different methods (DPPH and FRAP) were conducted to assess the antioxidant activity of both extracts. Results: Sixty-one compounds were identified in the oils, whereas sesquiterpenes were the major components in both volatile oils. Hexadecanoic acid (16.65%) and hexahydrofarnesyl acetone (20.41%) were the main compounds in S. laxa and S. byzantina, respectively. The ethyl acetate fraction of S. byzantina showed the strongest antioxidant activity (DPPH IC₅₀: 18.3 µg/mL; FRAP: 687.4 FeSO₄.7 H₂O mg /g extract) and the highest total phenolics content (115.43 gallic acid mg/g extract) compared to other fractions. The volatile oil of S. laxa showed more potent antimicrobial activity on Salmonella paratyphi A (MIC: 5.62 µg/mL). Conclusion: Both species were safe and showed no toxicity. They demonstrated strong antioxidant properties. The essential oil of S. laxa showed potent activity against Salmonella paratyphi A.

Keywords: antimicrobial; antioxidant; essential oil; Stachys byzantina; Stachys laxa

Citation: Kiashi F, Hadjiakhoondi A, Tofighi Z, Khanavi M, Ajani Y, Ahmadi Koulaei S, Yassa N. Compositions of essential oils and some biological properties of *Stachys laxa* Boiss. & Buhse and *S. byzantina* K. Koch. *Res J Pharmacogn.* 2021; 8(2): 5–15.

Introduction

| Stachys L. is one of the largest genera in the | high temperature like the Mediterranean reigon |
|---------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|
| world. It belongs to the family Lamiaceae | and southwest of Asia, South Africa, North and |
| (Labiatae). Stachys consists of about 300 species | South America. In fact, Stachys is distributed |
| of annual and perennial herbs and also small | worldwide for except New Zealand and Australia |
| shrubs [1]. | [2]. |
| Different species of Stachys spread in areas with | Stachys has a long-term history of use in |
| | |

*Corresponding author: yasa@tums.ac.ir

^{© 2021.} Open access. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/)

traditional medicine. Numerous species have been used in decoctions and infusions to treat inflammatory diseases such as rheumatic disorder, ulcers, sclerosis of the spleen, migraine and headache, respiratory problems including asthma, cough and sore throat, fevers, diarrhea, genital tumors and liver disorders [1, 3-5]. Diverse natural compounds are biosynthesized by genus Stachys including flavonoids, phenolic acids, phenylethanoid and phenylpropanoid glycosides, diterpenoids, iridoids, saponins and steroids which are responsible for the biological properties [5,6]. In addition, the volatile oils of several species of Stachys are rich in sesquiterpenoids and monoterpenoids. In the literatures germacrene D, β -caryophyllene, caryophyllene oxide, spathulenol and α -cadinene are mentioned as prevailing constituents of the essential oils of Stachys [5,7,8]. Not only a multitude of effects of different species of Stachys such as antibacterial [9], antiinflammatory [10], antioxidant and cytotoxic effects [9] have been documented, but also antitumor, anti-nociceptive, anti-pyretic [11], antianxiolytic [12], anti-spasmodic [13] and immunomodulatory [14] activities are reported in previous studies.

Nearly 34 of the whole species of *Stachys* are accessible in Iran of which 13 are endemic [15]. *Stachys laxa* Boiss. & Buhse is the endemic species in Iran [16]. *Stachys laxa* with the synonym name of *S. demavendica* Bornm. is distributed in the north of Iran. Even though, the volatile oil of S. laxa was analyzed twice in 2003 and 2006, the habitat of the plants was different from this study [17,18]. The present study was designed to compare the essential oil and some biological properties of *S. laxa* with a well-known species *S. byzantina*.

Materials and Methods Ethical considerations

The research was approved by the Ethics Committee of Tehran University of Medical Sciences (IR.TUMS.PSRC.REC.1396.2594).

Plant material

The aerial parts of *S. laxa* and *S. byzantina* were respectively collected in summer 2016 from Kojur (altitude of 1038m) and Marzanabad (altitude of 1972m), Mazandaran province, North of Iran. The plants were identified by Dr Yousef Ajani, botanist. Voucher specimens 6507-THE and 6506-THE were deposited at the Herbarium of Tehran University of Medical Sciences for *S. laxa* and *S. byzantina*, respectively.

Extraction of essential oil

The aerial parts of the plants were dried in shade. The essential oils were obtained by hydrodistillation method using Clevenger-type apparatus. One hundred g of the aerial parts of each plant was extracted separately for 4 hours and the essential oils were kept at 4°C for further analyzing by gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS).

Extraction

The plants (200 g) were extracted by maceration method with 80 % methanol for 6 days. The solvent was evaporated by rotary evaporator (Heidolph, Germany) at 40 °C to yield total extracts. Finally, the total extracts were fractionated by different solvents such as hexane, chloroform, ethyl acetate and methanol using solid-liquid fractionation method. Four different fractions were used in further evaluations.

GC-MS analysis procedure

Gas chromatography of the essential oils was carried out on HP-5973 system coupled with a mass detector equipped with HP-5MS column (60 m × 0.32 mm × 0.5 μ m). The initial temperature of oven was 80 °C and programmed to reach 230 °C with a rate of 3 °C /min (held for 5 min), finally reached 250°C and held for 10 min. The temperature of injector and detector was 250 °C and 0.1 μ L of sample was injected. Helium with 99.99% purity was used as the carrier gas with a flow rate 1.5 mL/min. Ionization voltage of detector was equal to 70 ev. Normal Alkanes (C₈ – C₃₂) were injected with the same condition [19].

Antimicrobial activity Microbial strains

Antimicrobial activity of the essential oils were assessed against six Gram-negative bacterial strains including Esherichia coli (ATCC 10536), Salmonella paratyphi А (ATCC 5702), Klebsiella pneumonia (ATCC 10031), Pseudomonas aeruginosa (ATCC 27853). Proteus vulgaris (PTCC 1182), Shigella dysenteriae (PTCC 1188), three Gram-positive bacterial strains including Staphylococcus aureus (ATCC 29737), Staphylococcus epidermidis (ATCC 12228), *Bacillus subtilis* (ATCC 6633), and three fungi including one yeast, *Candida albicans* (ATCC 10231) and two molds, *Aspergillus brasiliensis* (PTCC 1015) and *Aspergillus niger* (ATCC 16404). All were provided from Iranian Research Organization for Science and Technology (IROST).

Disc diffusion assay

The antimicrobial activity was evaluated by methods described by National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards [20]. The disc diffusion method assessed the antimicrobial activity of *S. laxa* and *S. byzantina* essential oils. One hundred μ L of bacterial suspension (turbidity equivalent to 0.5 McFarland) was cultured on the Mueller-Hilton Agar (MHA) medium as basal layer. The essential oils (1 mg/mL) in 10% Dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) were sterilized through Millipore filter (0.45 µm) then 10 µL of each sample was loaded over sterile filter paper discs (6 mm in diameter). Standard antibiotics, gentamicin, rifampin and nystatin were used as positive control [21].

Determination of minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC)

The MIC values of microbial strains, which were determined susceptible in disc diffusion assay, were estimated in sterilized 96-well microplates. Brain Heart Infusion (BHI) medium (95 µL) was added to microplates, then 5 µL of the bacterial suspension (0.5 McFarland) and 100 µL of different concentrations of the essential oils (7.8 to 500 µg/mL) were added. The Plates were shaken at 3000 rpm for 20 seconds and incubated at 37 °C for 24 h. The appearance of white spots at the bottom of the wells indicated the microbial growth. The lowest concentration of the essential oil which inhibited the microbial growth was reported as the MIC value [20]. Standard antibiotics, gentamicin, rifampin and nystatin were used as the positive control. For negative control, 195 µL of BHI medium and 5 µL of bacterial suspension with no essential oil was used. The tests were repeated three times for all microorganisms.

Brine Shrimp lethality test

The brine shrimp lethality assay was conducted to evaluate the general toxicity of the extracts. Artificial seawater was prepared by dissolving sea salt (38 g) in water (1 L) ad the pH was adjusted to 9.0 by Na₂CO₃. Brine shrimps (Artemia salina Leach) eggs hatched in sterile artificial seawater under constant aeriation at 30 °C for 48 h. The fractions of both plants were prepared by artificial seawater in different concentrations: 1000, 700, 500, 300, 100 and 10 µg/mL and DMSO (1% v/v) was used for better solubility. Five mL of each sample and 20 live nauplii were put in different tubes in triplicate. After incubation under light at 30 °C for 24 h, the number of survived nauplii was counted and recorded. A cytotoxic natural compound. podophyllotoxin, was used as the positive control. The percentage of brine shrimp lethality was calculated for each concentration. Finally, by the concentration-mortality curve, the median lethal dose (LD₅₀) value of each sample was determined and reported as means \pm SD [22].

Total phenolics assay

Total phenolic content of different fractions was determined by Folin-Ciocaltue method with slight modifications [23]. Briefly, 200 μ L of each fraction (20 μ g/mL) was mixed with 1 mL, 1:10 diluted Folin-Ciocaltue reagent. After 5 min, 3 ml Na₂CO₃ (7.5% w/v) was added and incubated at room temperature in a dark place for 2 h. The absorbance was measured by spectrophotometer at 760 nm. Different concentrations of gallic acid (25-150 μ g/mL) was used to obtain the calibration curve. Eventually, the results were expressed as milligrams of gallic acid equivalents per gram of dry extract and reported as means ± SD.

Antioxidant assay DPPH method

To determine the antioxidant capacity of the fractions, the 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) method was used according to Moradi-Afrapoli et al. with some modifications [23]. One mL of different concentrations (1000, 500, 250 125 μ g/m) of each fraction was added to 2 mL of methanol DPPH solution (40 μ g/mL). After incubation at 37 °C for 30 min, the absorbance was read at 517 nm. Butylated hydroxytoluene (BHT) was used at the same concentrations (1000, 500, 250 and 125 μ g/mL) as the positive control. Inhibition percent which indicates the antioxidant activity was calculated by the following equation:

 $I\% = [(A_{Blank}\text{-} A_{Sample}) \, / \, A_{Blank}] \times 100$

 A_{blank} is the absorbance of the control reaction which contains all of the components without the tested sample and A_{sample} is the absorbance of the tested sample. The concentration that inhibited 50% of DPPH solution (IC₅₀) was calculated by plotting the inhibition percent against sample concentrations. The test was performed in triplicate and the IC₅₀ values were reported as means \pm SD.

FRAP method

The antioxidant potential of different fractions was also determined by the ferric reducing ability of plasma (FRAP) assay. Briefly, 25 mL of acetate buffer (0.3 mol/L, pH= 3.6) was added to 2.5 mL of FeCl₃ (20 mmol/L) and 2.5 mL of 2,4,6- tripyridy-s-triazine (TPTZ) solution (10 mmol/L in 40 mmol/L HCl) to prepare the FRAP reagent freshly. Three mL of reagent was incubated in different tubs at 37 °C for 5 min. Then 100 µL of fractions were added and incubated again for 10 min at 37 °C. Finally, the absorbance of mixtures was measured at 593 nm. A standard curve of different concentrations of FeSO₄.7H₂O solution was used to determine the antioxidant capacity of the samples. FRAP values were expressed as the concentration of antioxidants showing ferric reducing ability equivalent to that of FeSO4 [24]. The test was performed in triplicate and results were reported as means \pm SD.

Results and Discussion

Yellow oils in the yield of 0.15% and 0.21% (w/w) were obtained by hydro-distillation from *S*. *laxa* and *S*. *byzantine*, respectively. The chemical constituents of the essential oils of both common Iranian *Stachys* species were identified and showed in Table 1.

Sixty-one compounds were identified in the essential oils of which 16 were common in both species. Accordingly, 41 components were identified for *S. laxa* which exhibited 91.46% of the total essential oil component. Hexadecanoic acid (16.65%), germacrene D (9.99%) and α -pinene (9.44%) were reported as the main constituents in the essential oil of *S. laxa*. On the other hand, 36 components representing 92.49% of total essential oil were identified in *S. byzantina*. Hexahydrofarnesyl acetone (20.41%), 1-octen-3-ol (9.77%), benzaldehyde (7.57%) and α -bisabolol (6.3%) were reported as the major

compounds in the volatile oil of S. byzantina. In line with previous studies on genus Stachys, sesquiterpenes were characterized as the prevailing constituents in both species [25]. Generally, 40.59% of S. laxa oil was consisted of sesquiterpene components, most of which contained sesquiterpene hydrocarbon (23.15%). On the contrary, S. byzantina oil was rich in oxygenated sesquiterpenes (37.55%). The amount of sesquiterpene compounds were 41.09%.

The essential oil composition of some *Stachys* species were analyzed and reported that is summarized in Table 2. Hexadecanoic acid was the major compound of *S. laxa* oil in our study while germacrene D was the main compound in previous studies [17,18]. Some studies reported germacrene D as the major compound of essential oils of *S. inflata* and *S. lavandulifolia* [26].

In the present study, hexadecanoic acid was absent in S. byzantina oil but Bahadori MS et al. reported the compound as the third abundant major constituent (10.9%) in S. byzantina collected from Khalkhal [26]. Additionally, Conforti et al. reported hexadecanoic acid as the dominant component of oil in four species of which Stachys were collected from Mediterranean The area [27]. prevailing constituents identified in S. byzantina volatile oil, hexahydrofarnesyl acetone and 1-octen-3-ol, were previously reported in S. byzantina [26].

There are several studies on different species of the Stachys genus with similar compositions but different major compounds in their essential oils. Usually, many factors affect the composition of essential the oils. In 2013 the effect of locality was investigated on the components of S. lavandulifolia essential oil. Two different populations of S. lavandulifolia were collected from Isfahan and Chaharmahal va Bakhtiary provinces in Iran. This study in agreement with some other studies confirmed that not only the geographical and environmental factors like climate and elevation, but also the genetic and experimental conditions such as the different parts of plant, collection time, drying conditions and extraction technique affect the quality and quantity of the oil composition [8,29,30].

Limit of the effective life span of antibiotics due to the microbial resistance, have persuaded researchers to find new antimicrobial agents. One of the most available resources for investigation are natural compounds.

| No. | Compounds Name | S. laxa % | S. byzantina % | ¹ RRI | ² KI |
|-----|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|----------------|------------------|-----------------|
| 1 | α-Thujene | 0.23 | - | 926 | 924 |
| 2 | α-Pinene | 9.44 | 3.09 | 930 | 932 |
| 3 | Camphene | - | 2.26 | 949 | 946 |
| 4 | Sabinene | 0.82 | - | 965 | 969 |
| 5 | Benzaldehyde | - | 7.57 | 969 | 970 |
| 6 | β-Pinene | 0.77 | 2.54 | 977 | 974 |
| 7 | 1-Octen-3-ol | 6.66 | 9.77 | 982 | 979 |
| 8 | β-Myrcene | 0.37 | - | 985 | 988 |
| 9 | Furan-2-pentyl | - | 0.91 | 997 | 993 |
| 10 | Hemimellitene | 1.69 | - | 1019 | 1021 |
| 11 | Limonene | 1.26 | 0.12 | 1020 | 1024 |
| 12 | β-Phellandrene | 1.19 | - | 1026 | 1025 |
| 13 | 1,8-Cineol | - | 0.73 | 1029 | 1026 |
| 14 | cis-Ocimene | 0.1 | - | 1035 | 1032 |
| 15 | 1-Octanol | 1.44 | - | 1067 | 1063 |
| 16 | Acetophenone | - | 1.16 | 1074 | 1078 |
| 17 | Linalool | 1.14 | 4.37 | 1097 | 1095 |
| 18 | Nonanal | 0.28 | 1.47 | 1105 | 1100 |
| 19 | α-Campholene aldehyde | 0.16 | - | 1128 | 1125 |
| 20 | trans-Pinocarveol | - | 1.09 | 1136 | 1135 |
| 21 | cis-Verbenol | 0.4 | 0.32 | 1140 | 1137 |
| 22 | Camphor | - | 1.28 | 1142 | 1141 |
| 23 | Nonenal | - | 0.53 | 1147 | 1144 |
| 24 | Nonanol | 0.11 | - | 1162 | 1165 |
| 25 | Borneol | - | 0.75 | 1171 | 1173 |
| 26 | 3-Methyl acetophenone | - | 0.52 | 1182 | 1179 |
| 27 | Methyl salicylate | 0.43 | - | 1194 | 1190 |
| 28 | Verbenone | - | 0.52 | 1205 | 1204 |
| 29 | E-Geraniol | - | 1.62 | 1252 | 1249 |
| 30 | Bornyl acetate | - | 0.93 | 1285 | 1281 |
| 31 | 2-Undecanone | 0.2 | - | 1287 | 1288 |
| 32 | trans-Anethol | - | 1.11 | 1289 | 1290 |
| 33 | 2,4-Decadienal | - | 0.51 | 1296 | 1292 |
| 34 | Eugenol | 0.52 | 2.09 | 1351 | 1356 |
| 35 | α-Copaene | 1.2 | - | 1369 | 1367 |
| 36 | β-Bourbonene | 0.94 | - | 1373 | 1375 |
| 37 | β-Damacenone | - | 0.57 | 1386 | 1380 |
| 38 | β-Elemene | 0.66 | - | 1393 | 1389 |
| 39 | β-Caryophyllene E | 3.19 | - | 1419 | 1417 |
| 40 | β-Farnesene Z | 1.47 | - | 1436 | 1440 |
| 41 | trans-Geranylacetone | - | 0.43 | 1446 | 1445 |
| 42 | α-Humulene | 0.41 | - | 1455 | 1452 |
| 43 | α-Amorphene | 0.48 | - | 1481 | 1483 |
| 44 | Germacrene D | 9.99 | 2.27 | 1488 | 1484 |
| 45 | Bicyclogermacrene | 1.28 | - | 1503 | 1500 |
| 46 | δ-Cadinene | 3.53 | 1.27 | 1525 | 1522 |
| 47 | Spathulenol | 3.39 | 1.93 | 1572 | 1577 |
| 48 | Caryophyllene oxide | 3.57 | 1.23 | 1586 | 1582 |
| 49 | Salvial-4(14)-en-1-one | 1.11 | - | 1591 | 1594 |
| 50 | T-Muurolol | 0.96 | 2.04 | 1648 | 1644 |
| 51 | α-Cadinol | 1.03 | - | 1656 | 1652 |
| 52 | Valeranone | 1.33 | 4.64 | 1677 | 1674 |
| 53 | α-Bisabolol | - | 6.3 | 1684 | 1685 |
| 54 | 2-Pentadecanone | 0.64 | - | 1700 | 1697 |
| 55 | Tetradecanoic acid | 1.09 | - | 1772 | 1770 |
| 56 | Octadecane | 0.24 | A. 11 | 1803 | 1800 |
| 57 | Hexahydrofarnesyl acetone | 6.05 | 20.41 | 1840 | 1838 |
| 58 | Hexadecanoic acid | 16.65 | - | 1966 | 1959 |
| 59 | Octadecadienoic acid methyl ester | - | 3.14 | 2078 | 2078 |
| 60 | Phytol | 5.04 | 2.14 | 2128 | 2122 |
| 61 | 1 ricosane | - | 0.86 | 2307 | 2300 |
| | Manager and the start of the st | Results | Results | | |
| | Monoterpene hydrocarbon | 14.18 | 8.01 | | |
| | Oxygenated monoterpene | 2.65 | 14.81 | | |
| | Sesquiterpene hydrocarbon | 23.15 | 3.54 | | |
| | Oxygenated sesquiterpene | 17.44 | 37.55 | | |
| | Nonterpene | 29.00 | 26.44 | | |
| | Diterpene | 5.04 | 2.14 | | |
| | Unknown | 8.54 | 7.51 | | |
| | 1 otal identified | 91.46 | 92.49 | | |

Table 1. The constituents of essential oils of Stachys laxa and S. byzantina

¹RRI: Relative Retention Indices as determined on a HP-5MS column using homologous n-alkanes; 2KI: Kovats Indices

| Species | Origin (city, province) | Main compound (%) | References |
|-------------------|-----------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| S. laxa | Kojur, Mazandaran | Hexadecanoic acid (16.65%) | [Present study] |
| | | Germacrene D (9.99%) | |
| | | α-Pinene (9.44%) | |
| | Behshahr, Mazandaran | Germacrene D (17.1) | [17] |
| | | 4-hydroxy-4-methyl-2-pentanone (12.3) | |
| | | 7-epi-α-selinene (8.3) | |
| | Charat, Mazandaran | Germacrene D (40.1) | [18] |
| | | B-caryophyllene (16.7) | |
| | | β -phellandrene (5.5) | |
| S. byzantina | Marzanabad, Mazandaran | Hexahydrofarnesyl acetone (20.41%) | [Present study] |
| * | · | 1-octen-3-ol (9.77%) | |
| | | benzaldehyde (7.57%) | |
| | | α -bisabolol (6.3%) | |
| | Khalkhal, Ardabil | Hexahydrofarnesyl acetone (25.7) | [26] |
| | , | Valeranone (17.1) | |
| | | Hexadecanoic acid (10.9) | |
| | | Phytol (6.9) | |
| | | 1-octen-3-ol (6.6) | |
| | Urmieh, western | 1.8-cineole (14.8) | [31] |
| | ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | Linalool (12.9) | [·] |
| | | Cubenol (9.9) | |
| | | Germacrene D (9.6) | |
| | Behshahr, Mazandaran | Piperitenone (9.9) | [17] |
| | , | 6.10.14-trimethyl pentadecan-2-0ne (6.4) | |
| | | n-tricosane (6.4) | |
| S. inflata | Behshahr, Mazandaran | Hexadecanoic acid (9.1) | [17] |
| Strigtand | Benomani, malandaran | Germacrene D (8.9) | [*'] |
| | | α -pinene (5.8) | |
| | | bicyclogermacrene (5.1) | |
| | Kermanshah, Kermanshah | Germacrene D (21.6) | [26] |
| | | B-pinene (15.6) | [=*] |
| | | B-phellandrene (9.8) | |
| | | α -pinene (9.6) | |
| | Kashan, Isfahan | Linalool (28.55) | [32] |
| | Thomas, Istanai | a-terpineol (9.45) | [0] |
| | | spathulenol (8 37) | |
| | | (2E)-hexenal (4.62) | |
| S. lavandulifolia | Behshahr, Mazandaran | 4-hydroxy-4-methyl-2-pentanone (9.3) | [17] |
| 5. avananijona | Densham, mazandaran | α -ninene (7.9) | [1/] |
| | | Hexadecanoic acid (5.2) | |
| | Marand East Azarbaijan | Germacrene D (22.5) | [26] |
| | | | |

Many studies were conducted on antimicrobial effect of plants or their metabolites. Based on literatures, terpenoids which are abundant in the essential oils are remarked as antibacterial, antifungal, antiviral and antiprotozoal agents [30]. The antimicrobial effects of both essential oils of the present study were investigated against 12 different bacterial and fungal strains. According to the results of Table 3, the oils of S. laxa and S. byzantina showed strong to moderate antimicrobial effect. These two essential oils have shown more powerful effect in Gramnegative bacteria compared to Gram-positive ones. No effect was observed on fungi strains. Some bacterial strains such Esherichia coli, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Proteus vulgaris and Staphylococcus epidermidis were resistant to the essential oils. Although both oils showed potent effects on Gram-negative bacteria such as Klebsiella pneumonia and Shigella dysenteriae. Stachys laxa demonstrated the most considerable effect on Salmonella paratyphi A with MIC = 5.62 µg/mL (Table 3).

There are a number of investigations on antibacterial and antifungal activities of Stachys species which relatively confirm the results of the present study. Manafi et al. investigated the antimicrobial effect of the essential oils from the leaves and stem of S. byzantina. They reported a moderate antibacterial activity while no effect was observed against E. coli [33]. In another study in 2005, Sonboli et al. found a moderate

antibacterial effect of S. schtschegleevii, while the oil was more effective against Gram-positive bacteria than Gram-negative bacteria which is in line with the findings of Grujic-Jovanovic et al. [34,35]. The volatile oil of S. inflata showed no considerable antimicrobial activity; however, some pure constituents of the oil such as linalool and α -terpineol were effective [32]. The essential oil of 22 species of Stachys showed moderate activity against bacteria and C. albicans while some pure components of the oil demonstrated strong activity. It is interesting that the nonoxygenated constituents were more effective than the oxygenated compounds of which β caryophyllene showed the strongest effect [36]. Another report on antimicrobial effect of the essential oils of 8 species of Stachys genus from Greece, confirms our findings that the antibacterial effect of this genus is more potent antifungal activity [37]. The only than antimicrobial report of S. laxa is a conducted study in 2008. Saeedi et al. surveyed the antimicrobial effect of the methanol extract of four species including S. laxa that showed antibacterial but no antifungal effects [38].

To evaluate the general toxicity of different fractions of the extracts, brine shrimp lethality bioassay was conducted. The results are shown in Table 4 as LD_{50} value. In comparison to the positive control, podophyllotoxin ($LD_{50} = 2.4 \mu g/mL$), there was not any toxicity in the plants. Some limited studies which are in agreement with ours were conducted to investigate the toxicity of *Stachys* species [39,40].

Reactive oxygen species (ROS), as the harmful mediators, can be produced during biological processes. Accumulation of damage due to ROS in lipids, proteins and deoxyribonucleic acid leads to oxidative stress (DNA), [41]. Antioxidant compounds with two different mechanisms can prevent these problems: transfer of single electron or hydrogen atom. Because of the potential toxicity of chemicals which are used as antioxidants such as butylhydroxytoluene (BHT) and butylhydroxyanisole (BHA), today, a lot of attention paid to natural antioxidant especially phenolic compounds [41,42].

Table 3. Antimicrobial activity of essential oils of Stachys laxa and Stachys byzantina

| | | | | MIC ^a | | |
|-------------------|-----------------|--------------|----------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Microorganism | | Stachys laxa | Stachys byzantina | Rifampin (5 µg/disc) | Gentamycin (10 µg/disc) | Nystatin (100 i.u./disc) |
| | E. coli | - | - | 500 | 500 | NA ^b |
| | S. paratyphi A | 5.62 | - | - | 500 | NA |
| Gram- negative | K. pneumonia | <31.25 | <31.25 | 250 | 250 | NA |
| | P. aeruginosa | - | - | - | 500 | NA |
| | P. vulgaris | - | - | 125 | 500 | NA |
| | S. dysenteriae | <31.25 | <31.25 | 250 | 500 | NA |
| Gram- positive | S. aureus | <2000 | 1000 | 250 | 500 | NA |
| | S. epidermidis | - | - | 250 | 500 | NA |
| | B. subtilis | <2000 | <2000 | 15.6 | 500 | NA |
| Fungi | C. albicans | 250 | 500 | NA | NA | 125 |
| | A. brasiliensis | 500 | 500 | NA | NA | 2.31 |
| | A.niger | 500 | 500 | NA | NA | 2.31 |

a. MIC: Minimal Inhibition Concentration as $\mu g/mL,$ b. NA: Not Applicable

| Table 4. General toxicity, antioxidant effects and total phenolics content of Stachys laxa and S. byzantia |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|

| Samples | | Brine Shrimp Lethality LD ₅₀ (µg/ml) | DPPH assay IC ₅₀ (µg/ml) | FRAP value as FeSO ₄ .7H ₂ O mg /g extract | Phenolics content as gallic acid mg /g extract |
|------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|
| S. laxa | Methanol | 4508.3 ± 0.03 | 159.1 ± 0.96 | 514.7 ± 0.014 | 94.95 ± 0.007 |
| | Ethyl acetate | 313.2 ± 0.07 | 182.6 ± 0.78 | 526.7 ± 0.018 | 74.24 ± 0.009 |
| | Chloroform | 561.1 ± 0.08 | 293.0 ± 0.70 | 493.4 ± 0.008 | 34.95 ± 0.005 |
| | Hexane | 1270.9 ± 0.06 | 382.4 ± 0.72 | 490.7 ± 0.007 | 16.86 ± 0.001 |
| S. byzantina | Methanol | 3021.1 ± 0.07 | 173.6 ± 0.95 | 565.4 ± 0.022 | 51.68 ± 0.002 |
| | Ethyl acetate | 227.2 ± 0.07 | 18.3 ± 0.90 | 687.4 ± 0.016 | 115.43 ± 0.006 |
| | Chloroform | 498.2 ± 0.05 | 488.9 ± 0.67 | 524.0 ± 0.008 | 46.62 ± 0.002 |
| | Hexane | 702.5 ± 0.09 | 1782.3 ± 0.90 | 447.4 ± 0.007 | 39.71 ± 0.006 |
| Positive control | Podophyllotoxin | 2.4 ± 0.8 | - | - | - |
| | BHA | - | 14.3 ± 0.6 | - | - |

So, the antioxidant capacity of the extracts of both plants were investigated by two common antioxidant methods (DPPH and FRAP) based on transferring the electron. 2,2-diphenyl-1picrylhydrazyl as a stable free radical (purple color) can react with reducing agents or antioxidants and convert to non-radical form, 1,1diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazine (yellow color). In the ferric reducing antioxidant power method, the Fe^{3+} complex reduces to Fe^{2+} complex in an acidic medium by antioxidants and changes to blue color.

The results of both methods are measured spectrophotometrically [43]. Total phenolics content of the extracts was determined also by Folin-Ciocalteu assay. The phenolics compounds reduce Folin-Ciocalteu reagent and cause a blue-color complex that can be measured at 750 nm against a standard like gallic acid [44].

The methanol and ethyl acetate fractions exhibited the maximum phenolics contents and antioxidant activity (Table 4). The ethyl acetate extract of *S. byzantina* showed the most considerable results (total phenolics content: 51.68 gallic acid mg/g extract; FRAP: 687.4 FeSO₄.7H₂O mg/g extract; DPPH IC₅₀: 18.3 μ g/mL) compared with positive controls.

In previous studies the antioxidant activity and total phenolics contents of Stachys species have been measured which are in line with our results. In 2010, the antioxidant activity of the hexan, dichloromethane and methanol extracts of S. byzantina was investigated by DPPH method and the methanol extract showed the strongest activity (IC₅₀: 0.015 mg/mL) [16]. In addition, Morteza-Semnani K. et al. measured the antioxidant activity of four different Stachys species including S. laxa and S. byzantina and the methanol extract of S. laxa exhibited potent results. Since the method was different from the present study, the obtained results are not directly comparable [45]. No direct correlation has been reported between previously about the total phenol content and antioxidant activity of Stachys species [9

Conclusion

No antifungal effect for any of the *Stachys* species was observed but they showed considerable activity on Gram-negative bacteria. Additionally, the two plants seem safe and showed no toxicity against *Artemia salina*.

Besides *S. byzantina* ethyl acetate fraction exhibited powerful antioxidant activity and can be suggested as a potent natural antioxidant agent.

Acknowledgments

The present research was financially supported by Tehran university of Medical sciences. The authors would like to thank Medicinal Plants Research Center, Institute of Medicinal Plants, ACECR, Karaj, to prepare GC/MS spectrum of essential oils and also the Essential Oils Research Institute, University of Kashan for conducting antimicrobial test.

Author contributions

The whole parts were performed by Fatemeh Kiashi and also prepared the manuscript of article. Abbas Hadjiakhoondi, Zahra Tofighi and Mahnaz Khanavi were the adviser of project. Yousef Ajani as a botanist collected and identified the plants. Sheyda Ahmadi Koulaei cooperated in practical parts. Narguess Yassa was supervisor and designed the study.

Declaration of interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest. The authors alone are responsible for the accuracy and integrity of the paper content.

References

- [1] Shakeri A, D'Urso G, Taghizadeh SF, Piacente S, Norouzi S, Soheili V, Asili J, Salarbashi D. LC-ESI/LTQOrbitrap/MS/MS and GC–MS profiling of *Stachys parviflora* L. and evaluation of its biological activities. *J Pharm Biomed Anal.* 2019; 168(25): 209– 216.
- [2] Vundać V, Maleš Ž, Plazibat M, Golja P, Cetina-Čižmek B. HPTLC determination of flavonoids and phenolic acids in some croatian *Stachys taxa*. J Planar Chromatogr-Mod TLC. 2005; 18(104): 269–273.
- [3] Demiray H, Tabanca N, Estep AS, Becnel JJ, Demirci B. Chemical composition of the essential oil and n-hexane extract of *Stachys tmolea* subsp. *tmolea* Boiss., an endemic species of Turkey, and their mosquitocidal activity against dengue vector *Aesdes aegypti*. *Saudi Pharm J*. 2019; 27(6): 877–881.
- [4] El Mokni R, Faidi K, Joshi RK, Mighri Z, El Aouni MH, Hammami S. Essential oil

composition and antioxidant activity of *Stachys officinalis* subsp. *algeriensis* (Lamiaceae) from a wild population in tunisia. *Eur Food Res Technol*. 2018; 244(9): 1691–1697.

- [5] Bahadori MB, Zengin G, Dinparast L, Eskandani M. The health benefits of three hedgenettle herbal teas (*Stachys byzantina*, *Stachys inflata*, and *Stachys lavandulifolia*)profiling phenolic and antioxidant activities. *Eur J Integ Med*. 2020; 36(10): 1–7.
- [6] Sadeghi H, Mansourian M, Panahi kokhdan E, Salehpour Z, Sadati I, Abbaszadeh-Goudarzi K, Asfaram A, Doustimotlagh AH. Antioxidant and protective effect of *Stachys pilifera* Benth against nephrotoxicity induced by cisplatin in rats. *J Food Biochem*. 2020; 44(5): 13–19.
- [7] Alizadeh F, Ramezani M, Piravar Z. Effects of *Stachys sylvatica* hydroalcoholic extract on the ovary and hypophysis-gonadal axis in a rat with polycystic ovary syndrome. *Middle East Fertil Soci J.* 2020; 25(1): 1–7.
- [8] Aghaei Y, Hossein Mirjalili M, Nazeri V. Chemical diversity among the essential oils of wild populations of *Stachys lavandulifolia* VAHL (Lamiaceae) from Iran. *Chem Biodivers*. 2013; 10(2): 262–273.
- [9] Jassbi AR, Miri R, Asadollahi M, Javanmardi N, Firuzi O. Cytotoxic, antioxidant and antimicrobial effects of nine species of woundwort (*Stachys*) plants. *Pharm Biol.* 2014; 52(1): 62–67.
- [10] Khanavi M, Sharifzadeh M, Hadjiakhoondi A, Shafiee A. Phytochemical investigation and anti-inflammatory activity of aerial parts of *Stachys byzanthina* C. Koch. J *Eethnopharmacol.* 2005; 97(3): 463–468.
- [11] Qasheesh MM, Al-Rehaily AJ. Analgesic and antipyretic activity of *Stachys schimperi* Vatke. *Nat Prod Sci.* 2006; 12(1): 24–28.
- [12] Kumar D, Bhat ZA, Kumar V, Raja W, Shah M. Anti-anxiety activity of *Stachys tibetica* Vatke. *Chin J Nat Med.* 2013; 11(3): 240– 244.
- [13] Naseri MKG, Adibpour N, Namjooyan F, Rezaee S, Shahbazi Z. Spasmolytic effect of *Stachys lavandulifolia* Vahl. crude methanolic extract and fractions on rat ileum. *Iran J Pharm Res.* 2011; 10(2): 307–312.
- [14] Slimani W, Zerizer S, Kabouche Z. Immunomodulatory and anti-arthritic activities of *Stachys circinata*. *Jordan J Biol*

Sci. 2020; 13(2): 1-6.

- [15] Mansourian M, Mirzaei A, Azarmehr N, Vakilpour H, Kokhdan EP, Doustimotlagh AH. Hepatoprotective and antioxidant activity of hydroalcoholic extract of *Stachys pilifera*. Benth on acetaminophen-induced liver toxicity in male rats. *Heliyon*. 2019; 5(12): 1–5.
- [16] Asnaashari S, Delazar A, Alipour SS, Nahar L, Williams AS, Pasdaran A, Mojarab M, Azad Fathi F, Sarker SD. Chemical composition, free-radical-scavenging and insecticidal activities of the aerial parts of *Stachys byzantina*. Arch Biol Sci. 2010; 62(3): 653–662.
- [17] Morteza-Semnani K, Akbarzadeh M, Changizi S. Essential oils composition of *Stachys byzantina*, *S. inflata*, *S. lavandulifolia* and *S. laxa* from Iran. *Flavour Fragr J*. 2006; 21(2): 300–303.
- [18] Sajjadi S, Mehregan I. Composition of the essential oil of *Stachys laxa* Boiss. & Buhse. *Iran J Pharm Res.* 2003; 2(1): 57–58.
- [19] Goodarzi S, Hadjiakhoondi A, Yassa N, Khanavi M, Tofighi Z. Essential oils chemical composition, antioxidant activities and total phenols of *Astrodaucus persicus*. *Iran J Basic Med Sci.* 2016; 19(2): 159–165.
- [20] Editorial Board. Performance Standards for Antimicrobial susceptibility testing; 12th international supplement. Wayne: PA: NCCLS; 2002.
- [21] Tavakoli S, Yassa N, Delnavazi M, Akhbari M, Hadjiakhoondi A, Hajimehdipoor H, Khalighi-Sigaroodi F, Hajiaghaee R. Chemical composition and biological activities of the essential oils from different parts of *Ferulago trifida* Boiss. *J Essent Oil Res.* 2017; 29(5): 407–419.
- [22] Tofighi Z, Asgharian P, Goodarzi S, Hadjiakhoondi A, Ostad SN, Yassa N. Potent cytotoxic flavonoids from Iranian Securigera securidaca. Med Chem Res. 2014; 23(4): 1718–1724.
- [23] Sadati Lamardi SN, Taleb Kashefi N, Yassa N. Phytochemical evaluation, antioxidant activity and toxicity of *Paeonia daurica* ssp. *macrophylla* root. *Res J Pharmacogn*. 2018; 5(2): 9–15.
- [24] Moradi-Afrapoli F, Asghari B, Saeidnia S, Ajani Y, Mirjani M, Malmir M, Bazaz Dolatabadi R, Hadjiakhoondi A, Salehi P, Hamburger M. In vitro α-glucosidase

inhibitory activity of phenolic constituents from aerial parts of *Polygonum hyrcanicum*. *DARU J Pharm Sci.* 2012; 20(1): 37–41.

- [25] Khanavi M, Hadjiakhoondi A, Amin G, Amanzadeh Y, Rustaiyan A, Shafiee A. Comparison of the volatile composition of *Stachys persica* Gmel. and *Stachys byzantina* C. Koch. oils obtained by hydrodistillation and steam distillation. *Z Naturforsch C*. 2004; 59(7): 463–467.
- [26] Bahadori MB, Maggi F, Zengin G, Asghari B. Eskandani M. Essential oils of (Stachys S. hedgenettles inflata, and S. byzantina) lavandulifolia, have antioxidant, anti-alzheimer, antidiabetic, and anti-obesity potential: a comparative study. Ind Crops Prod. 2020; 145(1): 1-8.
- [27] Conforti F, Menichini F, Formisano C, Rigano D, Senatore F, Arnold NA, Piozzi F. Comparative chemical composition, free radical-scavenging and cytotoxic properties of essential oils of six *Stachys* species from different regions of the mediterranean area. *Food Chem.* 2009; 116(4): 898–905.
- [28] Mostafavi H, Mousavi SH, Zalaghi A, Delsouzi R. Chemical composition of essential oil of *Stachys byzantina* from northwest Iran. J Essent Oil Bear Plants. 2013; 16(3): 334–337.
- [29] Ebrahimabadi AH, Ebrahimabadi EH, Djafari-Bidgoli Z, Kashi FJ, Mazoochi A, Batooli H. Composition and antioxidant and antimicrobial activity of the essential oil and extracts of *Stachys inflata* Benth from Iran. *Food Chem.* 2010; 119(2): 452–458.
- [30] Pirbalouti AG, Mohammadi M. Phytochemical composition of the essential oil of different populations of *Stachys lavandulifolia* Vahl. *Asian Pac J Trop Biomed.* 2013; 3(2): 123–128.
- [31] Alimohammadi M, Yadegari M, Shirmardi HA. Effect of elevation and phenological stages on essential oil composition of *Stachys*. *Turk J Biochem*. 2017; 42(6): 647–456.
- [32] Cowan MM. Plant products as antimicrobial agents. *Clin Microbiol Rev.* 1999; 12(4): 564–582.
- [33] Manafi H, Shafaghat A, Mazloomifar A, Kashanaki R. Antimicrobial activity and volatile constituents of essential oils from leaf and stem of *Stachys byzantina* C. Koch. *J Essent Oil Bear Plants*. 2010; 13(3): 371– 376.

- [34] Sonboli A, Salehi P, Ebrahimi SN. Essential oil composition and antibacterial activity of the leaves of *Stachys schtschegleevii* from Iran. *Chem Nat compd.* 2005; 41(2): 171–174.
- [35] Grujic-Jovanovic S, Skaltsa HD, Marin P, Sokovic M. Composition and antibacterial activity of the essential oil of six *Stachys* species from serbia. *Flavour Frag J.* 2004; 19(2): 139–144.
- [36] Goren AC, Piozzi F, Akcicek E, Kılıç T, Çarıkçı S, Mozioğlu E, Setzer WN. Essential oil composition of twenty-two *Stachys* species (mountain tea) and their biological activities. *Phytochem Lett.* 2011; 4(4): 448– 453.
- [37] Skaltsa HD, Demetzos C, Lazari D, Sokovic M. Essential oil analysis and antimicrobial activity of eight *Stachys* species from Greec. *Phytochemistry*. 2003; 64(3): 743–752.
- [38] Saeedi M, Morteza-Semnani K, Mahdavi M, Rahimi F. Antimicrobial studies on extracts of four species of *Stachys. Indian J Pharm Sci.* 2008; 70(3): 403–406.
- [39] Tawaha KA. Cytotoxicity evaluation of Jordanian wild plants using brine shrimp lethality test. *Jordan J Appl Sci Nat Sci.* 2006; 8(1): 12–17.
- [40] Delnavazi MR, Saiyarsarai P, Jafari-Nodooshan S, Khanavi M, Tavakoli S, Hadavinia H, Yassa N. Cytotoxic flavonoids from the aerial parts of *Stachys lavandulifolia* Vahl. *Pharm Sci.* 2018; 24(4): 332–339.
- [41] Dudonne S, Vitrac X, Coutiere P, Woillez M, Mérillon JM. Comparative study of antioxidant properties and total phenolic content of 30 plant extracts of industrial interest using DPPH, ABTS, FRAP, SOD, and ORAC assays. J Agric Food Chem. 2009; 57(5): 1768–1774.
- [42] Rice-Evans C, Miller N, Paganga G. Antioxidant properties of phenolic compounds. J Agric Food Chem. 1997; 2(4): 152–159.
- [43] Wojdyło A, Oszmiański J, Czemerys R. Antioxidant activity and phenolic compounds in 32 selected herbs. *Food Chem.* 2007; 105(3): 940–949.
- [44] Vasco C, Ruales J, Kamal-Eldin A. Total phenolic compounds and antioxidant capacities of major fruits from Ecuador. *Food Chem.* 2008; 111(4): 816–823.
- [45] Morteza-Semnani K, Saeedi M, Shahani S. Antioxidant activity of the methanolic

extracts of some species of *Phlomis* and *Stachys* on sunflower oil. *Afr J Biotechnol*. 2006; 5(24): 2428–2432.

Abbreviations

GC-MS: gas chromatography-mass spectrometry; IROST: Iranian research organization for science and technology; NCCLS: national committee for clinical laboratory standards; MHA: muellerhilton agar; MIC: minimum inhibitory concentration; BHI: brain heart infusion; DMSO: dimethyl sulfoxide; LD₅₀: median lethal dose; 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl; DPPH: BHT: butylated hydroxytoluene; median IC₅₀: Inhibitory concentration; FRAP: ferric reducing ability of plasma; TPTZ: 2,4,6- tripyridy-striazine; KI: Kovats indices; NA: not applicable; deoxyribonucleic DNA: acid; BHA: butylhydroxyanisole; ROS: reactive oxygen species