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Abstract

**Background and objectives:** Diabetes is the most common metabolic disorder with severe effects on quality of life. Decreasing serum glucose levels and normalization of kidney parameters is of great clinical importance for treating diabetes. *Urtica dioica* L. has been used as an anti-inflammatory, antioxidant, anti-fungal, and antibacterial agent. To our knowledge, there are little evidences about the anti-diabetic and nephroprotective actions of *U. dioica* L. The present study was carried out to assess the anti-diabetic and nephroprotective activities of *U. dioica* aqueous extract (UDAE) in streptozotocin (STZ) induced diabetic mice for 20 days.

**Methods:** Male mice were divided into six groups: normal control, untreated diabetic, diabetic mice receiving 30, 90 and 270 mg/kg of plant extract (groups UDAE30, UDAE90 and UDAE270, respectively) or 30 mg/kg glibenclamide. At 20th day, the mice killed, dissected, then blood and kidney samples were collected for biochemical and histological parameters analysis.

**Results:** Biochemically, *U. dioica* aqueous extract at all doses and glibenclamide could significantly (p≤0.05) reduce the raised levels of blood glucose, urea and creatinine when compared to the untreated group. Histologically, different doses of *U. dioica* aqueous extract (especially UDAE270) and glibenclamide could significantly (p<0.05) decrease the volume and length of the renal structures as compared to the untreated group.

**Conclusion:** These results indicated *U. dioica* aqueous extract could improve diabetic related metabolic derangement such as hyperglycemia and elevated kidney markers.
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**Introduction**

Diabetic kidney disease is one of the most frequent and perilous complications of diabetes mellitus, affecting about one-third of the patients [1]. In addition to the enhancing complexity of outpatient care for those with diabetes mellitus, diabetic kidney disease results in enhanced hospitalizations and mortality rates, especially due to cardiovascular complications [1,2]. It also increases the demand for renal replacement therapies such as kidney transplants and dialysis [3]. The combined social and economic costs of this disease are high and of concern to the
world's health systems. Diabetes-inducing chemicals such as streptozotocin (STZ) cause diabetic kidney disease [4]. STZ is the compound that is used as a diabetogenic agent in diabetes related experiments [4,5]. It is efficiently taken up by β-cells via the glucose transporter Glut2, causing diabetes by β-cell demolition [6,7]. In addition to β-cells, other tissues such as the kidney are also delicate to STZ toxicity [8,9] making it arduous to identify between diabetic nephropathy-related events and direct effects of STZ in these organs [10-12].

Findings from the screening of various ethnomedicinal plants describe their antioxidant effects and reveal that they could protect kidney against STZ-induced oxidative stress by changing the levels of antioxidant enzymes [13-17]. Some medicinal plants have high content of alkaloids, flavonoids, naphthaquinone, saponins, tannins and triterpenes, so they can increase the quality and rate of nephrotoxicity [18-21].

Urtica dioica L grows widely in the western parts of the Iran. It is widely distributed throughout the temperate and tropical areas around the world In Iranian traditional medicine, the leaves and roots of the plant are used internally as blood purifier, diuretic, emmenagogue and for treatment of eczema, rheumatism, anaemia, nephritis, haematuria, nasal and menstrual haemorrhage, jaundice, menorrhagia and diarrhea [22-24]. Urtica dioica elaborates several classes of organic compounds of medicinal importance including phytosterols, flavanoids, saponins, tannins, sterols, carotenoids, fatty acids, chlorophylls, proteins, amino acids and vitamins [25-27]. The plant has been reported to have various pharmacological activities [26,28] such as anti-inflammatory, antioxidant [13], antibacterial, antimicrobial, antifungal [29-31], insecticide [32], hypcholesterolemic [33], hypoglycemic [34], antiulcer [35], anti-colitis, antiviral [25], anticancer [36], analgesic [29], natriuretic, hypotensive [37] antiandrogenic [38], immunomodulatory [39], cardiovascular [33], hepatoprotective [40] and anti-rheumatoid arthritis [41] effects.

In the present study, we have assessed the effects the U. dioica aqueous extract (UDAE) by studying the microscopic structural changes in mice kidney after STZ-induced diabetic nephrotoxicity using modern design-based stereological methods. Renal functions were also checked out by examining biochemical biomarkers.

**Materials and Methods**

**Ethical considerations**

The animal procedures were approved by standards of Payame Noor University of Kermanshah (No. 01/Z/G1395/12/01) on Humane Care and Use of Laboratory Animals, in accordance with the Research Ethics Committee of the Ministry of Health and Medical Education in Iran (adopted on April 17, 2006), based on the Helsinki Protocol (Helsinki, Finland, 1975). Also, animal studies were approved by Local Research Ethics Committee of Razi University, Kermanshah, Iran with the ethical code of 397-3-002.

**Plant extraction**

_Urtica dioica_ was collected in July 2017 from Kermanshah province (in west of Iran). The plant was identified by the Central Herbarium of Agriculture and Natural Resources of Kermanshah province (Herbarium number 7806). The leaves of the plant were shade dried for one week. The dried aerial leaves of the plant were grinded, and about 200 g of the obtained powder was extracted with 2000 mL distilled water for 2 h at 40°C by continuous shaking. The extract was left for 24 h at room temperature; it was then filtered through Whatman paper no. 2. In rotary evaporator (Panchun Scientific Co., Kaohsiung, Taiwan), the extract was concentrated and lyophilized afterward.

**Animals**

Sixty male Balb/c mice weighing between 38-40 g were housed in an air-conditioned room (22±2 °C) and had free access to standard pellet diet and water ad libitum conditions during the study.

**Experimental design**

Diabetes was experimentally induced by intraperitoneal injection of STZ (60 mg/kg) in 50 mice. Fasting blood glucose levels were assessed everyday by glucometer strips. After three days, the mice with plasma glucose level > 250 mg/dL were considered diabetic [16]. The extract was dissolved in water and applied orally to mice (glibenclamide was also given orally).

The mice were divided into six following groups (n=10): I. Control group (C); II. Untreated-
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diabetic group; III. Treated group with 30 mg/kg glibencamamide (G30); IV. Treated group with 30 mg/kg of the aqueous extract of UDAE (UDAE30); V. Treated group with 90 mg/kg of the aqueous extract of UDAE (UDAE90); VI. Treated group with 270 mg/kg of the aqueous extract of UDAE (UDAE270) [16].

**Blood sampling and determination of biochemical parameters**

Blood samples were obtained in 0, 4, 7, 10, 13, 16, 20 days from tail vein to assess the blood glucose level by Easy Gluco glucometer (Ames, Korea). Twenty three days after diabetes induction and at the end of the 20th day of the treatment, the animals of all groups were euthanized by ketamine HCl (40 mg/kg). Immediately, blood samples were drawn from mice heart and inserted in serum tubes for determination of urea and creatinine.

**Stereological study**

**Volume density**

After dissection, the left kidney was weighed then fixed in 10% neutral buffered formalin solution for one week. Immersion method was used to evaluate the kidney primary volume. For assessment of kidney final volume, the amount of tissue shrinkage must be determined [42,43]. The sections of organ were prepared using orientator method. Totally, 7-10 slab were obtained from kidney. A circular piece was sampled from a kidney slab and the area of this piece was calculated. The slabs and circular piece were processed, sectioned (5 µm thicknesses) and stained by Periodic Acid Schiff (PAS) method. The area of the circular piece was calculated again and tissue shrinkage was measured [44]:

$$\text{Volume shrinkage} = 1 - (\text{AA}/\text{AB})^{1.5}$$

AA and AB: The area of the circular piece after and before tissue processing.

The total volume of the organ was then estimated using:

$$V_{\text{final}} = V_{\text{primary}} \times (1 - \text{Volume shrinkage})$$

Tissue sections were examined using a video microscopy system. The fractional volume of the renal structures was measured using a point probe (with an area of 100 cm² and containing 25 points) and following formula:

$$V_v = \frac{P_{\text{structure}}}{P_{\text{reference}}}$$

$P_{\text{structure}}$: sum of points hitting to the interested structures

$P_{\text{reference}}$: sum of points hitting to the reference space

**Length density**

The length density of the tubules and vessels was evaluated using an unbiased counting probe (740×740µm). The length density was estimated as:

$$L_v = 2 \times \frac{\Sigma Q}{a(\text{frame})} \times \Sigma \text{frame}$$

$\Sigma Q = \text{sum of the tubules counted}$, $a(\text{frame}) = \text{probe area}$, 547600µm², $\Sigma \text{frame} = \text{total number of the counted frames}$

**Statistical analysis**

Data were expressed as mean ± SD and were analyzed by one way ANOVA and Duncan’s test. $p \leq 0.05$ was considered significant.

**Results and Discussion**

The blood glucose levels of untreated diabetic mice enhanced to approximately 450% ($p \leq 0.05$) of the untreated diabetic mice in a time-dependent manner. But, treatment of STZ-diabetic mice with *U. dioica* aqueous extract at 30, 90 and 270 doses could significantly ($p \leq 0.05$) decrease the blood glucose levels similar to glibenclamide at the end of the experiment. Also, the difference among all doses of *U. dioica* aqueous extract was significant ($p \leq 0.05$) at several days. *U. dioica* aqueous extract showed most effect on day 20 of the experiment (figure 1).

The measured values of the kidney biochemical parameters have been indicated in figure 2. STZ-induced toxicity, increased urea and creatinine levels significantly ($p \leq 0.05$) as compared to the untreated group. Several doses of *U. dioica* aqueous extract and glibenclamide could significantly ($p \leq 0.05$) reduce the above parameters. There were no significant difference ($p \leq 0.05$) among *U. dioica* aqueous extract at all doses, glibenclamide and control groups in urea levels.

The results indicated that the kidney, cortical and medullary volumes increased ($p \leq 0.05$) in the untreated mice when compared to the control. Administration of *U. dioica* aqueous extract and
glibenclamide could significantly (p≤0.05) reduce the above parameters when compared to the untreated group (figure 3). The volume of proximal convoluted tubule, distal convoluted tubule, collecting duct, loop of Henle, vessels and interstitial tissue enhanced significantly (p≤0.05) in untreated mice compared to the control ones (figures 4,5). Administration of *Urtica dioica* aqueous extract at all doses and glibenclamide to the mice could significantly (p ≤ 0.05) reduce the volume of the above structures in comparison with the untreated group. *Urtica dioica* aqueous extract at all doses significantly (p≤0.05) decreased the volumes of collecting duct, vessels and interstitial tissue similar to the glibenclamide group. Also there were no significant difference (p≤0.05) among UDAE270, glibenclamide and control groups in collecting duct and distal convoluted tubule volumes.

**Figure 1.** Blood glucose levels in different days of the experimental groups; C: control; UD: untreated diabetic; G30: treated diabetics with 30 mg/kg glibenclamide; UDAE30, UDAE90 and UDAE270: treated diabetics with 30, 90 and 270 mg/kg of *Urtica dioica* L. aqueous extract, respectively; non-identical letters indicate a significant difference between the groups (p≤0.05).

The data of the mean absolute lengths of kidney subcomponents in treated and untreated groups have been presented in figure 6. Lengths of the proximal convoluted tubule, distal convoluted tubule, collecting duct, loop of Henle and vessels were significantly (p≤0.05) enhanced in untreated mice compared to the control ones. *Urtica dioica* aqueous extract at all doses and glibenclamide could significantly (p≤0.05) reduce the lengths of the proximal convoluted tubule, distal convoluted tubule, collecting duct, loop of Henle and vessels compared to the untreated group (p≤0.05). No significant difference (p≤0.05) was observed among *Urtica dioica* aqueous extract at all doses and glibenclamide groups in collecting duct and distal convoluted tubule lengths. Also there were no significant differences (p≤0.05) among UDAE270, glibenclamide and control groups in length of loop of Henle.

**Figure 2.** Urea and creatinine levels the experimental groups; C: control; UD: untreated diabetic; G30: treated diabetics with 30 mg/kg glibenclamide; UDAE30, UDAE90 and UDAE270: treated diabetics with 30, 90 and 270 mg/kg of *Urtica dioica* L. aqueous extract, respectively; non-identical letters indicate a significant difference between the groups (p≤0.05).
The therapeutic benefits of herbal medicine have been recognized for centuries on the basis of clinical experience and practice [45-47]. They have the immense potential for the management and remedy of diseases such as nephrotoxicity [16,17]. A list of medicinal plants that have been consumed for their nephroprotective effects includes Aerva javanica, Curcuma longa, Acorus calamus, Ficus religiosa, Rubia cardifolia, Boerhaavia diffusa, Tectona grandis, Crataeva nurvula, Tamarindus indica, Strychnos potatorum, Carica papaya, Orthosiphon stamineus, Punica granatum, Euphorbia neriifolia, Aerva lanata and Vernonia cinerea [48]. In this experimental study, the nephroprotective activity of *U. dioica* aqueous extract at several doses was determined in STZ-induced diabetes nephrotoxicity in mice model. To our knowledge, this is the first time *U. dioica* aqueous extract with these doses and methods has been used in experimentally induced diabetic in mice.

**Figure 3.** Absolute volume of the kidney cortex and medulla in all experimental groups; C: control; UD: untreated diabetic; G30: treated diabetics with 30 mg/kg glibenclamide; UDAE30, UDAE90 and UDAE270: treated diabetics with 30, 90 and 270 mg/kg of *Urtica dioica* L. aqueous extract, respectively; non-identical letters indicate a significant difference between the groups (p≤0.05).

**Figure 4.** Absolute volume of proximal and distal convoluted tubules and collecting ducts in all experimental groups; C: control; UD: untreated diabetic; G30: treated diabetics with 30 mg/kg glibenclamide; UDAE30, UDAE90 and UDAE270: treated diabetics with 30, 90 and 270 mg/kg of *Urtica dioica* L. aqueous extract, respectively; non-identical letters indicate a significant difference between the groups (p≤0.05).
In the recent study, diabetes was induced in all mice by single intraperitoneal injection of STZ. STZ partially annihilates the beta cells of islets of Langerhans, nephron, hepatocytes and RBC resulting in inexpressive insulin secretion causing type 2 diabetes, hepatotoxicity, nephrotoxicity and hematotoxicity [8,12].

**Figure 5.** Absolute volume of interstitial tissues, vessels and loop of Henle in all experimental groups; C: control; UD: untreated diabetic; G30: treated diabetics with 30 mg/kg glibenclamide; UDAE30, UDAE90 and UDAE270: treated diabetics with 30, 90 and 270 mg/kg of *Urtica dioica* L. aqueous extract, respectively; non-identical letters indicate a significant difference between the groups (p≤0.05).

**Figure 6.** Absolute length (m) of the vessels, collecting ducts, proximal and distal convoluted tubules and loop of Henle; C: control; UD: untreated diabetic; G30: treated diabetics with 30 mg/kg glibenclamide; UDAE30, UDAE90 and UDAE270: treated diabetics with 30, 90 and 270 mg/kg of *Urtica dioica* L. aqueous extract, respectively; non-identical letters indicate a significant difference between the groups (p≤0.05).
The results of serum glucose levels indicated that UDAE90 and UDAE270 in 20\textsuperscript{th} day had significant difference in comparison with untreated diabetic group; but, there were no significant differences between the experimental doses of \textit{U. dioica} aqueous extract and classic anti-diabetic drug, glibenclamide in this day. The aqueous extract of plant 250 mg/kg had revealed a significant glucose lowering activity against alloxan induced diabetes in rats [49]. The fructose induced insulin resistance in male rats has been reported to reduce serum glucose level on administration of hydro- alcoholic leaf extract [50]. The cold methanol extract of leaves (250 mg/kg) has also indicated significant anti-hyperglycemic property in alloxan induced diabetes [51]. The leaf extract was administered in perfused islets of langerhans both in normal and streptozotocin induced diabetic rats which demonstrated a significant enhancement of insulin secretion thereby reducing the blood sugar level [52].

A renal inconvenience is assessed by the elevated histological examination as well as by serum levels of cytoplasmic parameters [53]. During the short term study, the administration of \textit{U. dioica} aqueous extract ameliorated the renal morphological changes at all doses especially 270 mg/kg. Untreated mice revealed some degree of renal hypertrophy which was mainly due to the enlargement of the cortex, medullary and its subcomponents. The pathogenesis of kidney hypertrophy can be attributed to the overproduction of oxygen-free radicals following administration of toxins such as STZ, which is expressed in response to cytokines [8]. These changes were improved significantly with UDAE. The increased serum parameter levels such as creatinine and urea have been attributed to the blemished structural integrity of the kidney, because these are cytoplasmic in location and are released into the circulation after cellular injury [53]. In this study, we observed acute renal damage in toxic group mice following STZ administration manifested by: normal shifts in renal function tests (by increasing urea and creatinine) in renal tissue with altered histopathological signs as compared to the control mice; however, \textit{U. dioica} aqueous extract at all doses and glibenclamide could significantly (p≤0.05) decrease the above parameters. Finally, it can be concluded that the high dose of \textit{U. dioica} aqueous extract had a nephroprotective activity against renal structural changes induced by STZ in mice. Additional clinical trial studies would be needed to justify the potential of the plant as a nephroprotective agent in human.
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